## BUCK INSTITUTE FOR EDUCATION

## **CRITICAL THINKING RUBRIC for PBL:** for grades 6-12

Critical Thinking Opportunity at Phases of a Project	Below Standard	Approaching Standard	At Standard	Above Standard ✔
Launching the Project: Analyze Driving Question and Begin Inquiry	• sees only superficial aspects of, or one point of view on, the Driving Question	<ul> <li>identifies some central aspects of the Driving Question, but may not see complexities or consider various points of view</li> <li>asks some follow-up questions about the topic or the wants and needs of the audience or users of a product, but does not dig deep</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>shows understanding of central aspects of the Driving Question by identifying in detail what needs to be known to answer it and considering various possible points of view on it</li> <li>asks follow-up questions that focus or broaden inquiry, as appropriate</li> <li>asks follow-up questions to gain understanding of the wants and needs of audience or product users</li> </ul>	
Building Knowledge, Understanding, and Skills: Gather and Evaluate Information	<ul> <li>is unable to integrate information to address the Driving Question; gathers too little, too much, or irrelevant information, or from too few sources</li> <li>accepts information at face value (does not evaluate its quality)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>attempts to integrate information to address the Driving Question, but it may be too little, too much, or gathered from too few sources; some of it may not be relevant</li> <li>understands that the quality of information should be considered, but does not do so thoroughly</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>integrates relevant and sufficient information to address the Driving Question, gathered from multiple and varied sources</li> <li>thoroughly assesses the quality of information (considers usefulness, accuracy and credibility; distinguishes fact vs. opinion; recognizes bias)</li> </ul>	
Developing and Revising Ideas and Products: Use Evidence and Criteria	<ul> <li>accepts arguments for possible answers to the Driving Question without questioning whether reasoning is valid</li> <li>uses evidence without considering how strong it is</li> <li>relies on "gut feeling" to evaluate and revise ideas, product prototypes or problem solutions (does not use criteria)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>recognizes the need for valid reasoning and strong evidence, but does not evaluate it carefully when developing answers to the Driving Question</li> <li>evaluates and revises ideas, product prototypes or problem solutions based on incomplete or invalid criteria</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>evaluates arguments for possible answers to the Driving Question by assessing whether reasoning is valid and evidence is relevant and sufficient</li> <li>justifies choice of criteria used to evaluate ideas, product prototypes or problem solutions</li> <li>revises inadequate drafts, designs or solutions and explains why they will better meet evaluation criteria</li> </ul>	
Presenting Products and Answers to Driving Question: Justify Choices, Consider Alternatives & Implications	<ul> <li>chooses one presentation medium without considering advantages and disadvantages of using other mediums to present a particular topic or idea</li> <li>cannot give valid reasons or supporting evidence to defend choices made when answering the Driving Question or creating products</li> <li>does not consider alternative answers to the Driving Question, designs for products, or points of view</li> <li>is not able to explain important new understanding gained in the project</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>considers the advantages and disadvantages of using different mediums to present a particular topic or idea, but not thoroughly</li> <li>explains choices made when answering the Driving Question or creating products, but some reasons are not valid or lack supporting evidence</li> <li>understands that there may be alternative answers to the Driving Question or designs for products, but does not consider them carefully</li> <li>can explain some things learned in the project, but is not entirely clear about new understanding</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>evaluates the advantages and disadvantages of using different mediums to present a particular topic or idea</li> <li>justifies choices made when answering the Driving Question or creating products, by giving valid reasons with supporting evidence</li> <li>recognizes the limitations of an answer to the Driving Question or a product design (how it might not be complete, certain, or perfect) and considers alternative perspectives</li> <li>can clearly explain new understanding gained in the project and how it might transfer to other situations or contexts</li> </ul>	